


Writing Effective Comments

Brought to you by the 4405 “Land-use” team

Racing for your access!

4405



**KEEP PUBLIC LANDS
OPEN TO THE PUBLIC**

Contact Info

Shane Miller

secretary@parker4wheelers.net

928-230-6091

GOALS OF THIS WORKSHOP

This workshop will help you to:

- 1. Understand what is going on with your trail system**
- 2. Understand the basics of the NEPA process**
- 3. Write effective comments to the BLM/Forest Service.**

Travel Management and Route Designation

- Federal Agencies use the NEPA process all the time to evaluate changes in the trail system.
- The knowledge gained from this workshop can be used with any federal process, or federal agency.
- This is not just happening to your area, it is nation-wide.

Travel Management and Route Designation

- What is OHV-Who is OHV?
- OHV is **ANYONE** who uses a dirt road. In the eyes of a Federal Agency, any person who travels on a native surface road is included in the OHV category.
- Hunters, campers, fisherman, rock hounds, bird watchers, picnickers, Geo-cachers, and even hikers who want to drive to a set off point will all lose access.
- Our access is their access.

NEPA: the Basics

The NEPA process is intended to help public officials make better decisions based on an understanding of environmental consequences, and take actions that protect, restore, and enhance the human environment.

In accordance with the NEPA Process, the agency conducts an interdisciplinary review of the environmental effects of the proposal so that the relevant environmental information is available to citizens and public officials.

In English: NEPA is a process land managers MUST follow when doing something like closing routes through travel management.

The council on Environmental Quality is the authority in regards to NEPA, and the CEQ 40 questions at <http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/regs/40/40p3.htm> is invaluable. There is also a vast amount of information on NEPANET that can be very valuable: <http://ceq.hss.doe.gov/nepa/nepanet.htm>

Also, check out the Bureau of Land Management guide to NEPA. This will be one of the most valuable tools - the agencies often don't check their own rule books, so you have to!

Writing EFFECTIVE Comments

When the Forest Service/BLM receives public comments, they put them into three categories:

1. Non-Issue

2. Non-significant issue

3. Significant issue

Writing Effective Comments

Your comments have to be both:

- Within the scope of the proposed project
- Addressing a significant issue in the proposed project. This is called a “Substantive comment.”
- **The most important thing in your comments:** you have to ask the agency to do something, or change something in the document. The idea behind this is: change the document = change the final decision.

1. NON-ISSUE COMMENTS

If your comment is not within the scope and addressing a significant issue, it will be effectively ignored. Make sure your comment is not ignored.

A non-issue comment is a general statement and is not a specific comment related to the proposal and its effects.

If you just say that you disagree with the closures and that it is BS, it is a NON-ISSUE in the eyes of the FS. It's read, but tossed aside.

Example:

“These closures are complete bullshit! Keep my trails open!”

YOU DO NOT WANT TO MAKE THIS TYPE OF COMMENT!

2. NON-SIGNIFICANT ISSUE COMMENTS

A “Non-significant issue” comment is one that is just general support for a comment that has already been made. This is why form letters, petitions and letters of support for something written by one of our land-use groups are not as effective.

THE FOREST SERVICE AND BLM DO NOT COUNT “VOTES”

Example: “I support the alternative map that was proposed by the Blue Ribbon Coalition”

While you do not want to make this type of comment, it is better than nothing, and much better than a non-issue comment. While they do not count votes, a ton of non-significant letters can still send a message. Do not leave it to the agency to figure out what to do-tell them exactly what you want done or changed. For example, an entire paragraph containing results from a study has been misquoted, tell the agency to remove that paragraph. If a study regarding economic consequences of the proposed project have not been sufficiently studied, find the requirement to evaluate the financial impacts, and quote it back to them.

3. Significant Issue Comments!

Significant issue comments are specific **comments** regarding the proposal, specific disagreements with the proposal, not yet decided by law, regulation, or policy, etc.

If you give **arguments and reasons** as to why routes should remain open, attack the wording, the research, the assumptions, etc (the scope) in the documents provided to the public, it is a **SIGNIFICANT** and **SUBSTANTIVE** comment.

**THIS IS THE TYPE OF
COMMENT YOU WANT!!!**

**Significant, substantive comments
take research & time!!!**

Significant Issue comments Continued

The second type of comment is more difficult to write, and can take time to research, but it packs the biggest punch:

#2 Attacking the research, assumptions, purpose and need in the documents itself.

If there is an Environmental assessment or a Environmental impact statement, scour the entire document and have the BLM/FS change wording, or point out errors in process. When they make “assumptions” on certain things, or do not provide backing and research for stuff:

Call them out on it! Ask for their sources!

Process errors - not errors in process, are errors in the manner that the agency has prepared the document, and refers generally to the above. You have to tell them what "process" means, this refers to the administrative process, which is what the NEPA process is. It's not a legal process while it is ongoing, it is an administrative process governed by the rules and regulations in the CEQ. And to follow the NEPA process to a T, they have to know where the rules are to the NEPA process, which is referenced a few slides above.

Significant Issue comments Continued

Again, attacking the process takes time and research on your part. It also takes some time to educate yourself on the process, but can have a HUGE effect on the outcome.

This is the time when a lot of mistakes were made in regards to the trails - not enough public scoping to identify the trails that were being used and enjoyed in the area. And if they don't know about those trails, they also won't be referenced in the financial impact evaluation, which is a BIG process error. The agency didn't do due diligence.

Just ONE letter written by an OHV enthusiast for Eldorado National Forest saved **300 MILES** of forest routes because he found that the Environment Impact Statement DID NOT follow the Notice of Intent presented by the Forest Service.

Ideas for Significant comments

- 1. Argue how closures will effect the local economy.**
- 2. Question environmental impacts by wheel motorized vehicle use.**
- 3. Argue the way in which routes were picked to be closed. Did them BLM use computer software to help them choose routes, or did they physically go out and examine them?**
- 4. Question and review all studies and sources listed by the BLM/FS**
- 5. Ask for wording in the documents to be changed if the wording is not correct or makes assumptions.**
- 6. Make sure the NEPA process is followed to a “T”**
- 7. Argue that the maps are hard to read or not enough time or data is given to make a comment.**

IMPORTANT POINTS!

- The FS/BLM is NOT the enemy!!!! They are just doing their job. Be respectful and polite in your comments!
- The NEPA process demands that the BLM/Forest service **MUST** read every comment sent in. Your letter **WILL** get read!
- **Your comments WILL make a difference!**
- When signing your letter, list all the organizations and clubs you belong to.
- 5 letters with 5 separate points is **MUCH BETTER** than one letter with 5 points! Write as many letters as possible and make them work!

Other suggestions

- 1. Start a “Friends of” group and work with your local land managers. This is BY FAR the best way to keep routes open and to re-open routes. The maps ARE NOT set in stone! Contact Del Albright for help!**
- 2. Reach out to local businesses, clubs and other types of users. They need to understand that they will also lose access. BUILD A COALITION!!**
- 3. Take Del Albright’s RLTC or VLLS classes! This training will help you immensely! www.rltc.biz**
- 4. DON’T do this alone! There are many resources for you! Go to: Pirate4x4.com/landuse for help and to see other ideas which have worked in the past!**
- 5. Make use of the Pirate4x4.com letter generator!**

Special Thanks To:

- CORVA Comments Project Workshops
- Blue Ribbon Coalition
- California Association of 4 Wheel Drive Clubs
- Pirate 4X4
- Amy Granat
- Don Amador
- Del Albright
- Kurt Schneider
- 4405 Land Use Team

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Please visit:

www.pirate4x4.com/landuse

For info on NEPA on OHV access

www.muirnet.net

For more training through Del Albright:

www.rltc.biz

**This presentation and workshop is
free of charge.**

**However, if you found this
presentation useful and wish to
support the 4405 “Land-use” teams
efforts with a donation, please
PayPal your donation to
Kurt@kurtuleas.com**